

Adding Classes to Set Theory

$$\text{ZFC} \subseteq \text{NBG} \subseteq \text{MK}$$

Daniël Otten

NBG

Von Neumann–Bernays–Gödel

Differences between ZFC and NBG

The universe of ZFC consists of sets. (classes are formulas)

The universe of NBG consists of classes, some classes are sets.

Theorem

NBG is a conservative extension of ZFC, that is, they both prove the same statements about sets.

Note that this shows that ZFC and NBG are equiconsistent.

Theorem

NBG is finitely axiomatizable, ZFC is not.

Background

ZFC is named after Ernst Zermelo and Abraham Fraenkel:

- 1908 Zermelo proposed the first axiomatic set theory,
- 1922 Fraenkel added the axiom of foundation and the axiom schema of replacement.

NBG is named after John von Neumann, Paul Bernays and Kurt Gödel:

- 1925 Neumann introduced classes into set theory, his theory used arguments and functions as primitive notions;
- 1929 Bernays reformulated to use sets and classes instead;
- 1931 Gödel simplified by making every set a class.

Conventions

A **set** is a class that is an element of another class.

A **proper class** is a class that is not a set .

We use uppercase letters for classes and lowercase letters for sets.

To shorten notation we will write:

$\exists x\phi(x)$ instead of $\exists X(\exists Y(X \in Y) \wedge \phi(X))$,

$\forall x\phi(x)$ instead of $\forall X(\exists Y(X \in Y) \rightarrow \phi(X))$.

Axioms (basics)

Axiom (extensionality)

$$\forall A \forall B (\forall x (x \in A \leftrightarrow x \in B) \rightarrow A = B).$$

Axiom (foundation)

$$\forall A (\exists (x \in A) \rightarrow \exists (x \in A) \forall (y \in A) (y \notin x)).$$

Axioms (sets)

Axiom (pair)

$$\forall a \forall b \exists c \forall x (x \in c \leftrightarrow x = a \vee x = b).$$

Axiom (union)

$$\forall a \exists b \forall x (x \in b \leftrightarrow \exists y (x \in y \wedge y \in a)).$$

Axiom (power set)

$$\forall a \exists b \forall x (x \in b \leftrightarrow \forall y (y \in x \rightarrow y \in a)).$$

Axiom (infinity)

$$\exists a (\exists (x \in a) \wedge \forall (x \in a) \exists (y \in a) (y \neq x \wedge \forall (z \in x) (z \in y))).$$

Axioms (comprehension)

As usual we define $\langle x, y \rangle = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$ and:

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = \langle \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle, x_n \rangle.$$

Axiom Scheme (comprehension)

For every formula $\phi(\vec{A}, \vec{x})$ that only quantifies over sets:

$$\forall \vec{A} \exists B \forall \vec{x} (\langle \vec{x} \rangle \in B \leftrightarrow \phi(\vec{A}, \vec{x})).$$

Axioms (replacement)

A **function** is a class F such that we have:

$$\text{fun}(F) = \forall(z \in F)(\exists x \exists y(z = \langle x, y \rangle)) \wedge \\ \forall x \forall y \forall z(\langle x, y \rangle \in F \wedge \langle x, z \rangle \in F \rightarrow y = z).$$

Axiom (replacement)

$$\forall F \forall a(\text{fun}(F) \rightarrow \exists b \forall y(y \in b \leftrightarrow \exists(x \in a)(\langle x, y \rangle \in F))).$$

Axiom (global choice)

$$\exists F(\text{fun}(F) \wedge \forall x(\exists(y \in x) \rightarrow \exists(y \in x)(\langle x, y \rangle \in F))).$$

Comparison of ZFC and NBG

ZFC	NBG
extensionality	extensionality
foundation	foundation
pair	pair
union	union
power set	power set
infinity	infinity
scheme of separation	scheme of comprehension
scheme of replacement	replacement
choice	global choice

Theorems

Theorem (separation)

If a is a set and $B \subseteq a$ then B is a set.

Proof. With comprehension take $I_B = \{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in B\}$. Then the image of a under I_B is B so by replacement B is a set. \square

Theorem (limitation of size)

A class is a proper class iff it has a bijection to the universal class V .

Proof Sketch. By global choice every class C has a well-ordering, this gives an injection $C \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ whose image is an initial segment. If it is not surjective then C is a set, otherwise $|C| = |\text{Ord}|$. By the same reasoning we also get $|V| = |\text{Ord}|$ so $|C| = |\text{Ord}| = |V|$. \square

NBG is finitely axiomatizable

Theorem

NBG is finitely axiomatizable.

The axiom scheme of comprehension gives infinitely many axioms, we show that we only need 7 of them.

To do this we show that we can prove every instance of comprehension using the other NBG axioms and these 7 instances.

NBG is finitely axiomatizable: Axioms (formula)

Axiom (complement/negation)

$$\forall A \exists B \forall x (x \in B \leftrightarrow x \notin A).$$

Axiom (union/disjunction)

$$\forall A \forall B \exists C \forall x (x \in C \leftrightarrow x \in A \vee x \in B).$$

Axiom (domain/existential)

$$\forall A \exists B \forall x (x \in B \leftrightarrow \exists y (\langle x, y \rangle \in A)).$$

NBG is finitely axiomatizable: Proof (preliminaries)

Suppose that we have classes A_1, \dots, A_m and a formula $\phi(A_1, \dots, A_m, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ only quantifying over sets. We show:

$$\exists C_\phi \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in C_\phi \leftrightarrow \phi(A_1, \dots, A_m, x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

First we transform ϕ to a more manageable equivalent form:

- 1 Replace $A_k \in \alpha$ with $\exists y(y = A_k \wedge y \in \alpha)$.
- 2 Replace $\alpha = \beta$ with $\forall z(z \in \alpha \leftrightarrow z \in \beta)$.
- 3 Replace $\wedge, \forall, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ using \neg, \vee, \exists .
- 4 Replace $\exists y\psi(y)$ with $\exists x_{n+d}\psi(x_{n+d})$ where d is the quantifier depth. Example: $\exists y(y \in x_1 \vee \exists z(z \in x_2)) \vee \exists z(z \in x_1)$ becomes $\exists x_3(x_3 \in x_1 \vee \exists x_4(x_4 \in x_2)) \vee \exists x_3(x_3 \in x_1)$.

NBG is finitely axiomatizable: Proof (induction)

We construct C_ϕ using induction on the structure of ϕ :

$$\phi = x_i \in x_j \implies C_\phi = E_{i,j,n} = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid x_i \in x_j\},$$

$$\phi = x_i \in A_k \implies C_\phi = E'_{i,k,n} = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid x_i \in A_k\},$$

$$\phi = \neg\psi \implies C_\phi = \mathbb{C}C_\psi,$$

$$\phi = \psi \vee \chi \implies C_\phi = C_\psi \cup C_\chi,$$

$$\phi = \exists x_{n+1}\psi \implies C_\phi = \text{dom}(C_\psi),$$

The only thing left to check is that we can construct $E_{i,j,n}$ and $E'_{i,k,n}$.

NBG is finitely axiomatizable: Axioms (tuple)

Axiom (membership)

$$\exists A \forall x \forall y (\langle x, y \rangle \in A \leftrightarrow x \in y).$$

Axiom (product)

$$\forall A \forall B \exists C \forall z (z \in C \leftrightarrow \exists x \exists y (z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge x \in A \wedge y \in B)).$$

Axiom (transpose)

$$\forall A \exists B \forall x \forall y \forall z (\langle x, z, y \rangle \in B \leftrightarrow \langle x, y, z \rangle \in A).$$

Axiom (cycle)

$$\forall A \exists B \forall x \forall y \forall z (\langle y, z, x \rangle \in B \leftrightarrow \langle x, y, z \rangle \in A).$$

NBG is finitely axiomatizable: Lemmas

Lemma (tuple)

$$1 \quad \forall A \exists B \forall x \forall y (\langle y, x \rangle \in B \leftrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in A)$$

$$2 \quad \forall A \exists B \forall x \forall y \forall z (\langle z, x, y \rangle \in B \leftrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in A)$$

$$3 \quad \forall A \exists B \forall x \forall y \forall z (\langle x, z, y \rangle \in B \leftrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in A)$$

$$4 \quad \forall A \exists B \forall x \forall y \forall z (\langle x, y, z \rangle \in B \leftrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in A)$$

Lemma (expansion)

If we have $P \subseteq V \times V$ and $i \neq j$ then we can construct

$$P_{i,j,n} = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \in P\}.$$

NBG is finitely axiomatizable: Proof (E and E')

Note that by the membership axiom we have $E = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in y\}$.

We construct $E_{i,j,n} = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid x_i \in x_j\}$ as follows:

- If $i = j$ take $E_{i,j,n} = \emptyset = CV$.
- If $i \neq j$ use the expansion lemma on E to get $E_{i,j,n}$.

We construct $E'_{i,k,n} = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid x_i \in A_k\}$ as follows:

- If $n = 1$ take $E'_{i,k,n} = Y_k$.
- If $n \neq 1$ use the expansion lemma on $Y_k \times V$ to get $E'_{i,k,n}$.

This completes the proof.

MK

Morse-Kelley

Differences between NBG and MK

There is only one difference between MK and NBG:

Axiom Scheme (comprehension)

For every formula $\phi(\vec{A}, \vec{x})$ ~~that only quantifies over sets:~~

$$\forall \vec{A} \exists B \forall \vec{x} (\langle \vec{x} \rangle \in B \leftrightarrow \phi(\vec{A}, \vec{x})).$$

Theorem

MK is not a conservative extension of ZFC.

Theorem

MK is not finitely axiomatizable.

Background

MK is named after Anthony Morse and John Kelley:

1949 Wang first set out the theory.

1955 Kelley publicised a version of Morses theory in an appendix.

1965 Morse gave his version in an idiosyncratic formal language.

Consistency

Theorem

MK can prove the consistency of ZFC and NBG.

Theorem

MK is equiconsistent with ZFC plus a strong inaccessible cardinal.

Discussion

How much stronger is MK than NBG?

How do we handle tuples for proper classes? We can still define pairs by taking $\langle C, D \rangle := (\{0\} \times C) \cup (\{1\} \times D)$.

How important is a finite axiomatization?

How do we want to handle collections which are too large?

- Omit them like ZFC.
- Allow one more level like NBG and MK.
- Hierarchy of levels like type theory.